

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,158	10/31/2003	Jeffrey D. Carnevali	NPI-019	9849	
7590 07/26/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Charles J. Rupnick			STERLING, AMY JO		
PO Box 46752 Seattle, WA 98146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3632		
		DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/698,158	CARNEVALI, JEFFREY D.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amy J. Sterling	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered rit or other evidence is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the affidavit or other evidence failed the affidavit or oth	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	^			
		Amy J. Sterling 7/18/06 Primary Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's argument that "fused" is not the synominous with "firmly connected" is unpersuasive. The applicant's argument that the reference does not show a second larger opening is clearly shown by the Final Rejection, dated 4/11/06. The applicants argument that Kruger teaches away from Richter is unpersuasive in that Richter's description of the constant diameter doesn't limit the modifications to that bore. The applicant's argument that a suitable material is not obvious is unpersuasive.